

SECRET

DPD-2348

10 April 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Concurrence in Task Order No. 4 to Contract No. BB-425
with ITEK Corporation, Lexington, Massachusetts

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation submitted for concurrence of the undersigned. Such recommendation is contained in Paragraph 6.

2. Contract No. BB-425 is a Master Task Order type contract. Task Orders are written thereunder for various studies, tests, and small R & D efforts on a CPFF basis. Task Order No. 4 is written on behalf of NPIC for a continuation of Spatial Filtering and Image Enhancement studies, tests, and modifications to the Enhancement Viewer.

25X1A

3. Task Order No. 4 obligates an amount of [redacted] chargeable in Fiscal Year 1962 to NPIC funds, Account No. 2155-1010-7000-730 obligation reference No. 10. The DPD Finance Officer's attention is invited to NPIC Memo dated 21 March 1962 which set up an obligation of [redacted]. This amount should be increased to cover the total amount obligated. By concurrence to this memorandum, the Comptroller signifies that sufficient funds are available for this obligation.

25X1A

4. The services and equipment being procured by this Contract BB-425 Task Order No. 4 are with the same contractor involved in the CORONA Program, the nature of which cannot be publicly disclosed for security reasons. The undersigned Contracting Officer therefore determines that this procurement must be accomplished by negotiations pursuant to the authority of Section 3(a) of PL 81-110 and Class Determination and Finding, OXC-2122, signed by the DDCI on 25 October 1961.

5. Certification of funds for this contract will be handled under the procedure approved by the Director of Central Intelligence on 15 December 1956 which, in effect, results in all covert expenses

SECRET

SECRET

involving issuance of Treasury Checks being accumulated in a separate account within the Finance Division. The amounts in this account will be periodically scheduled for certification of the vouchers by the Director. This procedure eliminates the necessity for a separate certification of authority under Section 8(b) of Public Law 110, 81st Congress (formerly 10(b) - see 85-507 dated 7/7/58) for each contract.

6. Concurrence in Task Order No. 4 to Contract No. BB-425 is recommended.



25X1A

Contracting Officer, DPD

CONCURRENCES:

25X1A



Comptroller, DPD

April 11, 1962
Date

25X1A



Office of General Counsel, DPD

April 12, 1962
Date

25X1A

CS/DPD-DD/P [redacted] ccc
Distribution.
Ccy 1 - CS/DPD BB-425 T.O. 4
2 - FIN/DPD
3 - RI/DPD

SECRET

SECRET
(When Filled In)

Approved For Release 2002/09/04 : CIA-RDP67B00820R000300110007-4		FILE NO. BB 425	4				
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT <i>1/25</i>		DATE 7 Dec 64					
TO: ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL		INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) 13 FINAL					
NAME OF CONTRACTOR Itek Corporation		ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE Nov 64					
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Optical Image Enhancement Device							
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.					
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED <u>100%</u>							
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <i>(If yes, give details on reverse side.)</i>							
Acceptable final report received on 30 Nov 64. Request termination of contract.							
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <i>(If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)</i>							
All accountable items under this contract have been received.							
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR							
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING		3. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE					
2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT		4. <input type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE					
5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BELOW AVERAGE		7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY					
6. <input type="checkbox"/> BARELY ADEQUATE							
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.							
RECOMMENDED ACTION							
<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED		<input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TERMINATE		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <i>(Specify)</i>					
IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT ATTACH COMMENTS IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:							
ITEM		REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM		REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MANUALS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FINAL REPORT		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
				OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR 19 Oct 64							
SI		DIVISION		P&DS			
INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION 3308							
Approved For Release 2002/09/04 : CIA-RDP67B00820R000300110007-4							
FORM 1897 USE PREVIOUS EDITION 6-64		SECRET (When Filled In)		GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification			

25X1A

25X1A

Acceptable final report received 30 Nov. 1964. This contract should have been concluded with the receipt of the instrument on 14 Feb. 1964. First final report received 6 Oct 1964 was unacceptable due to illegibility of graphs. Contractor has been rated below average due to long overrun in time required to submit final report.